



COUNCIL MEETING 26 AUGUST 2009

En Bloc Council Resolution

RECOMMENDATION

That the Officer Recommendations for **Items 14.1, 14.2, 16.1 and 16.2** be adopted.

Items to be dealt with by separate resolution, without debate

Item No. and Title	Page No.	Reason for Separate Resolution
Item 15.1 – Sealing of Brash Road, Yallingup – Petition Response	17-23	Absolute Majority Decision Required for Officer Recs. 1 and 2.

Items Identified for Debate (Pulled Items)

Item No and Title	Pulled By	Page No	Reason	Alternative Motion
15.2 – Signage Policies Review	Cr Masters	24-38	Local government exists primarily to provide public services to the residents and businesses within its municipal area. Unless justified, it should not generally seek to achieve a profit through the provision of services, hence the signage policy review should have cost or income neutrality as one of its goals, i.e. the Shire's Signage Policy should seek to set income levels such that they closely balance the likely expenditures required by the shire to provide this service.	<p>Absolute Majority Decision Required for Officer Recs. 5 and 7.</p> <p>That the <u>Officer Recommendation</u> be accepted with the following amendment:</p> <p>Clause 6. b) to read "the revenue opportunity from advertising to be explored with a goal of such revenue achieving cost neutrality"</p>

Item No and Title	Pulled By	Page No	Reason	Alternative Motion
<p>16.3 - Proposed Potential Purchase of Lots 48 and 49 Causeway Road, Busselton for Use as Shire Administration Building</p>	<p>Cr Hartley</p>	<p>Agenda Addendum No. 1 (Issued 14/08/09)</p>	<p>The Shire of Busselton previously had the opportunity to purchase the then Churches of Christ facility for use by the Shire of Busselton, located on the corner of Causeway and Rosemary Drive. The Council of the day resolved not to purchase the said property, which was subsequently purchased by the RSL and developed into their present Busselton headquarters.</p> <p>In a new environment where Council is considering a feasibility for the possible acquisition of Lots 48 and 49 Causeway Road for possible construction of Shire facilities, it is important that active conversations now be undertaken with the Shire's most immediate neighbour to Lots 48 and 49 Causeway Road, with a view to ascertaining whether there would be mutual benefit of scale and operation in shared facilities into the future, as was the case in the 1980s when the then State Government entered into negotiations with the RSL for the construction of the then new District Court Buildings on St George's Terrace, Irwin</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the Officer Recommendation: <i>"That the CEO prepare a Business Plan in accordance in Section 3.59 of the Local Government Act for the acquisition of Lots 48 and 49 Causeway Road for consideration by the Council prior to advertising",</i> be agreed to. 2. That in the preparation of a Business Plan for consideration of the acquisition of Lots 48 and 49 Causeway Road by the Shire of Busselton, the Council further authorise the Shire's officers to engage in immediate negotiations with the Returned Servicemen's League (RSL) as to whether there may exist mutually beneficial possibilities in the joint development of a future facility for the use of both the Shire of Busselton and the RSL, utilising Lots 48 and 49, in addition to the land presently owned by the RSL, namely Lot No 69 Causeway Road.

Item No and Title	Pulled By	Page No	Reason	Alternative Motion
16.3 (Continued)			<p>Street and Hay Street in Perth, which also involved the construction of the present Legacy (RSL) House.</p> <p>Additionally, in terms of scale and future development potential, the Shire of Busselton would, in possible conjunction with the RSL, have access to a three street frontage, namely Southern Drive, Causeway Road and Rosemary Drive, in addition to the present Shire facility that has the Vasse River as its natural boundary.</p>	
17.1 - Proposed Local Government Reform Report to Minister for Local Government	Cr Stubbs	Agenda Addendum No. 2	The issues contained in items a) and b) were not considered by the Reform Team. They are complex issues that need a great deal of research and consideration before Council would be in a position to suggest to the State Government that they be introduced.	That the <u>Officer Recommendation</u> be adopted but with the deletion of all the words after the words "the Council's formal position" in the second line.